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Background: Amniotic membrane (AM)–derived products have been successfully used in ophthalmology, plastic surgery, and wound care, but little is known about their potential applications in orthopaedic sports medicine.

Purpose: To provide an updated review of the basic science and preclinical and clinical data supporting the use of AM-derived products and to review their current applications in sports medicine.

Study Design: Systematic review.

Methods: A systematic search of the literature was conducted using the Medline, EMBASE, and Cochrane databases. The search term amniotic membrane was used alone and in conjunction with stem cell, orthopaedic, tissue engineering, scaffold, and sports medicine.

Results: The search identified 6870 articles, 80 of which, after screening of the titles and abstracts, were considered relevant to this study. Fifty-five articles described the anatomy, basic science, and nonorthopaedic applications of AM-derived products. Twenty-five articles described preclinical and clinical trials of AM-derived products for orthopaedic sports medicine. Because the level of evidence obtained from this search was not adequate for systematic review or meta-analysis, a current concepts review on the anatomy, physiology, and clinical uses of AM-derived products is presented.

Conclusion: Amniotic membranes have many promising applications in sports medicine. They are a source of pluripotent cells, highly organized collagen, antifibrotic and anti-inflammatory cytokines, immunomodulators, and matrix proteins. These properties may make it beneficial when applied as tissue engineering scaffolds, improving tissue organization in healing, and treatment of the arthritic joint. The current body of evidence in sports medicine is heavily biased toward in vitro and animal studies, with little to no human clinical data. Nonetheless, 14 companies or distributors offer commercial AM products. The preparation and formulation of these products alter their biological and mechanical properties, and a thorough understanding of these differences will help guide the use of AM-derived products in sports medicine research.
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ANATOMY AND FUNCTION OF HUMAN FETAL MEMBRANES

The fetal membranes are a complex structure that plays a critical role in fetal development. The membranes are composed of 2 layers: the outer chorion that is in contact with maternal tissue and the thin (0.02-0.5 mm) inner amniotic membrane (AM) that completely surrounds the embryo and delimits the amniotic cavity.49 The AM forms from the trophoblast—the first group of cells to differentiate from the fertilized egg, which forms the outer layer of the early blastocyst.39 The AM is a translucent structure with no innervation or lymph nodes.61 As a result, it is entirely dependent on diffusion for nutrition.
Structure

The AM consists of 3 histological layers: the epithelial layer, a thick basement membrane, and avascular mesenchymal tissue (Figure 1). The innermost epithelial layer contains a single layer of cuboidal epithelial cells with many microvilli that play an active role in secretory and cellular transport functions. The underlying basement membrane (BM) is the thickest BM of all human tissues. Below the basement membrane is the avascular mesenchymal tissue, which can be divided into compact, fibroblast, and intermediate layers. Type I and III collagens produced in the fibroblast layer create parallel bundles that give most of the structural integrity to the AM. Type V and VI collagens create cross-links between this network of parallel bundles and the basement membrane. The intermediate layer, also known as the spongy layer, has an abundant content of proteoglycans and glycoproteins with a nonfibrillar network of type III collagen, which is only loosely connected to the adjacent chorion. This allows the AM to be easily separated from the chorion by blunt dissection at all stages of development.

Function

The AM is a metabolically active tissue that has the following functions: water and soluble material transport for the fetus, synthesis of growth factors, vasoactive peptides and cytokines, and regulating amniotic fluid pH. Amniotic epithelial cells have been shown to produce transforming growth factor–β (TGF-β), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), transforming growth factor–α (TGF-α), keratinocyte growth factor, and hepatocyte growth factor. In addition, nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), noggin, and activin have also been identified in the AM.

AMNIOTIC MEMBRANES AS A SCAFFOLD FOR COMPLEX TISSUE REGENERATION

AM was first used as a biologic scaffold in 1910 for the treatment of a skin defect. Since then, it has gained popularity as a biologic dressing and/or scaffold for tissue regeneration. As for all tissue regeneration scaffolds, great attention must be given to how AM is harvested, processed, and stored, as this can affect its mechanical and biological properties.

AM is harvested from volunteer donors who undergo rigorous screening for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) 1 and 2, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, human T-cell lymphotrophic virus, syphilis, cytomegalovirus (CMV), and tuberculosis. The fetal membranes can be harvested after vaginal or cesarean delivery; however, both commonly undergo terminal radiation sterilization. The amnion is then separated from the placenta by blunt dissection.

The first distinction is between fresh and preserved AM. Fresh AM is extensively washed in phosphate-buffered saline containing penicillin and streptomycin and stored at 4°C. There are several concerns with fresh AM transplantation, including the risk of disease transmission and the need for immediate or near-immediate transplantation. The reported infection rate with fresh AM transplantation is 8%, and gram-positive organisms are the most common pathogens. The main reason for this high infection rate is that AM grafts may be transplanted during the incubation period of an infectious pathogen, and seroconversion may not occur until after AM implantation. As a result, several preservation techniques have been developed, including cryopreservation and freeze-drying (lyophilization). Cryopreservation involves long-term storage of AM grafts at −80°C with a cryoprotectant such as glycerol or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Freeze-drying, also known as lyophilization, removes the water from AM tissue by freezing the material, then reducing the ambient pressure to allow the frozen water to convert directly from the solid to the gas phase in a process known as sublimation.

These methods all have some degree of influence on the mechanical and biological properties of AM grafts. An excellent study has compared the effects of cryopreservation and lyophilization on AM grafts. Cryopreserved and lyophilized AM both have lower maximal loads to failure and elongation to failure than fresh AM. In addition, lyophilized AM grafts are thinner and more fragile than fresh and cryopreserved AM. However, all graft types show similar suture retention properties. At the microscopic level, graft preservation led to thinning or even disruption of the compact layer with decreased levels of collagens I and III, fibronectin, and laminin. Nonetheless, the basement membrane was well preserved with cryopreservation and lyophilization. Integrity of the basement membrane may be crucial for cell adhesion when using AM as a scaffold in tissue regeneration or engineering. Interestingly, lyophilized AM allowed for greater host cell adhesion and viability than fresh or cryopreserved AM, perhaps because there is less competition between native AM epithelial cells and donor cells.

INTRINSIC PROPERTIES OF ACELLULAR AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE GRAFTS

Some benefits of AM are maintained even after the cellular component is no longer viable. This has been evaluated,
TABLE 1
Intrinsic Properties of Preserved Amniotic Membrane Grafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide a matrix for cellular migration and proliferation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance the wound-healing process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonimmunogenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce scar formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibacterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce pain at application site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural biologic barrier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although by testing grafts that have been cryopreserved or lyophilized. This is due, in part, to the preservation of both growth factors and the structure of the extracellular matrix. The acellular membrane allows for cell adhesion and proliferation, leading to more organized wound healing rather than scar formation. While much of the excitement about the use of AM in sports medicine centers on its role as a source of pluripotent cells or as a scaffold for mesenchymal tissue engineering, most of the current uses of AM use the intrinsic properties of preserved grafts. A summary of these properties is shown in Table 1.

Amniotic Membrane Stem Cell Types

When discussing AM as a source of stem cells, it is important to distinguish between amniotic epithelial cells (AECs) and amniotic mesenchymal stromal cells (AMSCs). AECs are maternal-derived cells that have the stereotypical markers of epithelial cells such as cytokeratin. They also express maternally-derived cells that have the stereotypical markers of epithelial tissue engineering, most of the current uses of AM in sports medicine centers on its role as a source of pluripotent cells or as a scaffold for mesenchymal tissue engineering, including myocytes, osteocytes, and chondrocytes.

Immunomodulatory Effects

During gestation, the maternal immune system develops a tolerance for the semi-allogeneic fetus, a process that is at least in part regulated at the level of the AM. A full review of these mechanisms is beyond the scope of this article, as it involves interactions between the fetus, mother, placenta, and amnion. We focus rather on the intrinsic immunomodulatory properties of AM. These are related at least in part to the depletion or absence of major histocompatibility antigens (human leukocyte antigen [HLA] classes A, B, DR) on the surface of AM-derived stem cells. Extensive research into the immunomodulatory properties of AM-derived stem cells has been performed and has shown effects on both the innate and adaptive immune systems.

In addition, anti-inflammatory cytokines contained within the AM matrix contribute to its privileged immune status. The effect of AM-derived stem cells on the innate immune system is largely modulated by their ability to prevent the differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells—a critical cell type for antigen presentation. Several putative soluble factors involved in this process are CCL2, CXCL8, or interleukin (IL)–6. In addition, AM-derived stem cells are essentially immune to lysis by natural killer (NK) cells, important effector cells of the innate immune system. This immunity is thought to be mediated by migration inhibitory factor, a potent inhibitor of NK cell-mediated lysis.

AM-derived stem cells also have well-documented interactions with the adaptive immune system. When grown in coculture with AM-derived stem cells, T cells show decreased proliferation in response to polyclonal antigens. This inhibitory effect is thought to be mediated by cell-to-cell pathways, in contrast to the effect of AM-derived stem cells on the innate immune system. This inhibition is dependent on the state of T-cell activation. Indeed, naive and memory T cells are highly sensitive to the effects of AM-derived stem cells, while activated T cells are not. The production of cytokines by T cells during a mixed lymphocyte reaction is also affected by co-culture with AM-derived stem cells. There are significant increases in IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, and IL-15 while levels of IL-17 and interferon–γ (IFN-γ) are downregulated. TGF-β and IL-10 are also thought to play a critical role in the immunosuppressive abilities of AM-derived stem cells.
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Antimicrobial and Antiviral Effects

AM has well-documented antimicrobial effects. It has antibacterial efficacy against gram-positive cocci, including *Streptococcus* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, as well as gram-negative bacilli, including *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. These effects can be attributed to the production of antimicrobial molecules by AM cells, including bactricidin,
REGULATORY EFFECTS OF AMNIOTIC MEMBRANES ON ANGIOGENESIS

Angiogenesis, also known as neovascularization, involves the formation of capillaries from preexisting microvessels. Angiogenesis is critical to normal wound and fracture healing. In contrast, excessive, pathologic angiogenesis is involved in tumoral processes as well as inflammatory arthropathies and chronic inflammatory conditions. The effects of AM grafts and AM-derived cells on angiogenesis are poorly understood. Most AM-derived cells express thrombospondin-1 as well as metalloproteinase inhibitors TIMP (tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases)–1, -2, -3, and -4, all of which are known antiangiogenic factors.

In addition, these proteins can be found in denuded AM without cells. In contrast, other studies have shown that AM-derived cells express proangiogenic factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), IL-8, angiogenin, IFN-γ, IL-6, bFGF, EGF, and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF). In an elegant animal study, it has been shown that the epithelial component of AM is an inhibitor of angiogenesis, while the mesenchymal component increases angiogenesis. This provides a unifying theory for the seemingly contradictory data from earlier studies. It is clear that depending on the intended use for AM grafts or AM-derived cells, the epithelial or mesenchymal subunits may be best suited based on their role in neovascularization.

ANTISCARRING EFFECTS OF AMNIOTIC MEMBRANES

One of the purported advantages of AM grafts is their ability to promote scar-free healing. TGF-β is a soluble factor that is known to induce fibrotic responses through activation of fibroblasts. AM, in turn, inhibits the expression of TGF-β, reducing scar formation. Hyaluronic acid in the mesenchymal portion of AM is thought to be the primary inhibitor of TGF-β. In addition, amniotic mesenchymal and epithelial cells contain growth factors involved in epithelialization and wound healing such as EGF, keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), keratinocyte growth factor receptor (KGFR), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), and hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR).

COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE HUMAN AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE–DERIVED PRODUCTS

As of February 2015, there were 14 companies or distributors that provide commercially available AM-derived products (Table 2; see also the online Appendix, available at http://ajsm.sagepub.com/supplemental). Many use proprietary processing methods, and most others have not made their processing methods public. Given the known effects of AM processing on its mechanical, biological, and cellular properties, clinicians and investigators are encouraged to communicate with vendors about their tissue processing before using an AM product. A variety of formulations are available, including sheets, dehydrated sheets, tubes, liquids, and powders (Table 2). To date, little to no research has been done on the effect of AM formulation on intended clinical use, and one cannot assume equivalency of the different formulations.

CURRENT CLINICAL USES OF HUMAN AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE–DERIVED PRODUCTS

One common use of AM is in ophthalmology as a treatment of corneal surface injury, as a reconstructive scaffold after resection of ocular surface lesions, and as a promoter of limbal stem cell regeneration. AM has also been widely applied by plastic surgeons and wound specialists. Its use in wound care is now a US$100 million market as it has been successfully used in humans as a biological dressing for burns as well as acute and chronic wounds. Furthermore, it has been shown to reduce adhesion formation after flexor tendon repair and has been used as a nerve wrap or conduit. Podiatrists and orthopaedic foot and ankle surgeons have demonstrated the efficacy of AM in accelerating and improving healing of diabetics foot ulcers and postoperative infected or nonhealing wounds.

CURRENT INVESTIGATIVE USES OF HUMAN AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE–DERIVED PRODUCTS IN SPORTS MEDICINE

The use of AM products in sports medicine is far less documented and to date is entirely experimental. A summary of the in vivo and in vitro studies pertaining to the use of AM products in sports medicine is shown in Table 3. The primary indications being explored are cartilage restoration, ligament and tendon healing, nonoperative treatment of knee osteoarthritis, and plantar fasciitis. The use of AM products for cartilage restoration has gathered momentum in recent years. Since 2007, ten studies have been published investigating this approach. Four of these studies used animal models (rat or sheep) while the others were cell culture studies. Three major strategies have been employed in these early studies: (1) using AM as a scaffold for bone marrow–derived mesenchymal stromal cell (MSCs), (2) using AM as a scaffold for delivery of chondrocytes, and (3) inducing AM-derived pluripotent cells toward a chondrogenic phenotype. The cell culture studies provide robust evidence that in vitro, AM-derived pluripotent cells (both amniotic epithelial cells and AMSCs) can be directed toward a chondrogenic fate using bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)–2,
Amniotic Membrane Products in Sports Medicine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product/Company</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Growth Factors</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Storage</th>
<th>Shelf Life</th>
<th>Available Configurations</th>
<th>Proposed Effects and Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amniofix (MiMedx)</td>
<td>Composite amniotic tissue membrane</td>
<td>EGF, KGF, HA, IL-6</td>
<td>PURION process&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ambient conditions</td>
<td>5 y</td>
<td>• Sheet/membrane • Particulate • Wrap</td>
<td>• Protects the collagen matrix and its natural properties • Reduces scar formation • Reduces inflammation in the surgical site • Enhances soft tissue healing area • Acts as a barrier to reduce the amount of scar tissue formation • Use in surgical, soft tissue, tendon, and nerve applications • Localized soft tissue covering • Provides a structural matrix for use in filling soft tissue defects, inflammation, and injury • Presence of viable cells may provide ancillary clinical benefits by enhancing the body’s natural regenerative process • Proposed uses to address areas of inflammation and pain, fill soft tissue defects, and address tendon and ligament injuries, cartilage injuries, muscle injuries, fascial injuries, injured nerves, tendinosis, osteoarthritides, or joint tendinitides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmnioFLEX (SurgiLogix)</td>
<td>Cryopreserved amnion and amniotic fluid</td>
<td>PDGF-AA, PDGF-BB, bFGF, TGF-β1, EGF, FGF, VEGF</td>
<td>NL (“aseptic processing” via cryopreservation)</td>
<td>Refrigerator/freezer</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>• Liquid</td>
<td>• Preserves and delivers multiple ECM proteins, growth factors, cytokines, and specialty proteins • Regenerates soft tissue • Acute and chronic wound care • Enhances healing • Reduces inflammation • Reduces scar tissue formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epifix (MiMedx)</td>
<td>dHACM allograft (single layer of epithelial cells, basement membrane, and an avascular connective tissue matrix)</td>
<td>EGF, KGF, HA, IL-6</td>
<td>PURION process</td>
<td>Ambient conditions</td>
<td>5 y</td>
<td>• Sheet/membrane • Particulate</td>
<td>• Modulates inflammation • Wound covering for dermal ulcers and defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neox (Anniox Medical Inc)</td>
<td>Cryopreserved human AM/UC</td>
<td>EGF, KGF, HA, IL-6</td>
<td>CRYOTEK process&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Refrigerator/freezer</td>
<td>3 mo at 1°C to 10°C; 1 y at -40°C to 0°C; 2 y at -50°C to -85°C</td>
<td>• Sheet/membrane • Particulate (Neox Flo)</td>
<td>• Use as a surgical covering, wrap, or barrier • Modulates a local wound environment to simulate fetal scarless healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarix (Anniox Medical Inc)</td>
<td>Cryopreserved human AM/UC</td>
<td>EGF, KGF, HA, IL-6</td>
<td>CRYOTEK process</td>
<td>Refrigerator/freezer</td>
<td>3 mo at 1°C to 10°C; 1 y at -49°C to 0°C; 2 y at -85°C to -50°C</td>
<td>• Sheet/membrane • Particulate (Clarix Flo)</td>
<td>• Soft tissue defect filler • Soft tissue trauma • Tendinitis • Tendinosis • Chronic wounds • Localized inflammation • Joint pain • Strains, partial tears (muscles, ligaments, tendons) • Soft tissue covering to reduce or minimize scar tissue formation near or on the dura or surgical sites • Carpal tunnel • Nerve wrap • Rotator cuff • Tendon repair • Placed beneath plate/hardware for difficult fractures • Provides an enhanced environment for tissue growth, repair, and healing • Offers antiscarring and anti-inflammatory properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flograft, Flograft FREEDOM (Applied Biologics LLC)</td>
<td>Amnion and amniotic fluid</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>(“aseptic processing” via cryopreservation)</td>
<td>Refrigerator/freezer</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>• Liquid</td>
<td>• Soft tissue injuries • Tendinitis • Plantar fasciitis • Inflamed nerves • Muscle tears • Repetitive motion injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XWRAP HYDRO PLUS (Applied Biologics LLC)</td>
<td>Chorion-free, human amnion allograft</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>Ambient conditions</td>
<td>1 y</td>
<td>• Sheet/membrane</td>
<td>• Provides an enhanced environment for tissue growth, repair, and healing • Offers antiscarring and anti-inflammatory properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioDRestore (BioD LLC)</td>
<td>Amniotic membrane</td>
<td>IL-1 receptor antagonist, TIMP, PDGF, VEGF, EGF, FGF, TGF-β</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>-65°C or cooler</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>• Liquid</td>
<td>• Soft tissue injuries • Tendinitis • Plantar fasciitis • Inflamed nerves • Muscle tears • Repetitive motion injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NuCel (NuTech)</td>
<td>Amnion and amniotic fluid</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>• Liquid</td>
<td>• Provides enhanced environment for tissue growth, repair, and healing • Offers antiscarring and anti-inflammatory properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>AM/UC, amniotic membrane and umbilical cord; bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; dHACM, dehydrated human amnion/chorion membrane; ECM, extracellular matrix; EGF, epidermal growth factor; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; HA, hyaluronic acid; IL, interleukin; KGF, keratinocyte growth factor; NL, not listed; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; TGF-β, transforming growth factor–β; TIMP, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

<sup>2</sup>The PURION process of cleaning, dehydration, and sterilization safely and carefully separates placental tissues, cleans and reassembles layers, and subsequently dehydrates the tissue to preserve the key elements associated with healing. The amniotic membrane scaffolding is protected while blood components are removed, so an intact extracellular matrix is left behind. No chemical cross-linking or decellularization occurs during the process.

<sup>3</sup>The CRYOTEK process uses cryopreservation (deep freezing) to maintain the innate biological and structural integrity of the natural tissue while maintaining its natural hydrated state.
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### TABLE 3
Basic Science and Clinical Studies on the Use of AM-Derived Products in Sports Medicine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Amniotic Product Type</th>
<th>Study Subjects</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cartilage regeneration</td>
<td>Liu et al (2014)</td>
<td>Genet Mol Res</td>
<td>Animal model (rabbit)</td>
<td>Control vs human acellular AM vs human acellular AM loaded with hMSCs</td>
<td>24 rabbits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Garcia et al (2014)</td>
<td>Curr Stem Cell Res Ther</td>
<td>Animal model (sheep)</td>
<td>Control vs fresh AM vs cryopreserved AM vs cryopreserved AM loaded with hMSCs</td>
<td>12 sheep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nogami et al (2012)</td>
<td>Transplantation</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>Human amniotic MSCs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhou et al (2011)</td>
<td>Int Orthop</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>Human amniotic epithelial cells</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tan et al (2011)</td>
<td>Cell Tissue Bank</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>Air-dried AM vs freeze-dried AM in culture with hMSCs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Krishnamurithy et al (2011)</td>
<td>J Biomed Mater Res A</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>Air-dried human AM vs freeze-dried human AM vs control seeded with rabbit chondrocytes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diaz-Prado et al (2010)</td>
<td>Cell Tissue Bank</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>Freeze-dried human AM seeded with human chondrocytes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wei et al (2009)</td>
<td>Cloning Stem Cells</td>
<td>Cell culture and animal model (rat)</td>
<td>Human amniotic MSCs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jin et al (2007)</td>
<td>Tissue Eng</td>
<td>Cell culture and animal model (rabbit)</td>
<td>Fresh vs denuded human AM seeded with rabbit chondrocytes</td>
<td>12 rabbits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendon and ligament healing</td>
<td>Kueckelhaus et al (2014)</td>
<td>Eplasty</td>
<td>Animal model (rat)</td>
<td>Amnion-derived cellular cytokine solution (supematant of amniotic MSCs in culture) vs control</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Philip et al (2013)</td>
<td>Eplasty</td>
<td>Animal model (rat)</td>
<td>Amniotic MSCs vs amnion-derived cellular cytokine solution vs control</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lange-Coniglio et al (2013)</td>
<td>Cytotherapy</td>
<td>Animal model (horse)</td>
<td>Horse amniotic MSCs vs bone marrow–derived MSCs</td>
<td>95 horses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lange-Coniglio et al (2013)</td>
<td>Stem Cells Dev</td>
<td>Animal model (horse)</td>
<td>Horse amniotic MSCs conditioned medium vs control</td>
<td>13 horses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barboni et al (2012)</td>
<td>Cell Transplant</td>
<td>Animal model (sheep)</td>
<td>Ovine amniotic epithelial cells vs control</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
BMP-7, or chondrogenic medium.10,29,34,50,60,75 Chondrogenic differentiation was confirmed by the expression of SOX-9, type II and type X collagen, and aggrecan.14,20,35,65 In addition, it has been shown that AM is a suitable scaffold for bone repair--derived MSC adhesion and chondrogenic differentiation,29,60 as well as mature chondrocyte adhesion and proliferation.10,30,39 All of these strategies led to filling of full-thickness chondral defects in animal models. However, these treatments have not been compared against other standard approaches such as microfracture, and to date, there are no human studies available. In summary, AM offers promise as an alternative to collagen I/III membrane scaffolds for 2-stage cartilage repair and as a source of pluripotent cells that does not require a morbid harvest (such as a bone marrow aspirate or a cartilage biopsy specimen).

Another promising field of investigation with wide applicability in sports medicine is the use of AM-derived products to enhance tendon and ligament healing. A single-cell culture study showed that AM-derived epithelial cells assume a tenocyte phenotype when cocultured with mature tenocytes.3 The 11 other studies available investigated the use of various AM-derived products in animal models (horse, sheep, rabbit, chicken, and rat).4,7,9,30-32,45,46,51-53 The studies in horses were veterinary clinical trials, rather than controlled laboratory experiments.31,32,45 The 3 studies totaled approximately 100 horses and showed that reinjury rates were reduced with amniotic epithelial cells, AMSCs, and even conditioned medium extracted from AMSC cultures.31,32,45 These products had better clinical outcomes than placebo and bone marrow--derived MSCs.31,32,45 The remaining animal studies were controlled laboratory experiments using Achilles tendon repair strength.4,7,30,45,53 and digital flexor tendons9,51,52 as healing models. While an injection of fresh AM into a healing Achilles tendon did not improve the histologic appearance of the tendon, conditioned medium from AMSC cultures and, to a greater degree, the MSCs themselves increase collagen production and cross-linking in the healing tendon, resulting in improved biomechanical characteristics.7,30,53 Fresh AM membranes were used for...
a different purpose in flexor tendon healing models. In this scenario, the membranes were used for their ability to reduce adhesion formation, which they reliably did in rabbit and chicken models, without compromising the mechanical properties of the healing tendons. A single study has investigated the use of micrionized dehydrated AM for intra-articular use in knee osteoarthritis. In a rat osteoarthritis model, micrionized AM reduced the number and severity of chondral lesions and maintained higher circulating proteoglycan levels.

The highest level of clinical evidence supporting the use of AM in sports medicine is for its use in plantar fasciitis. Two randomized clinical trials have been performed in this setting. The first demonstrated that micrionized AM resulted in improved functional outcome scores compared with saline injections. The most recent study demonstrated that injection of cryopreserved human AM had similar functional outcomes as corticosteroid injections for plantar fasciitis, without any documented adverse effects.

ONGOING CLINICAL TRIALS USING HUMAN AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE–DERIVED PRODUCTS

A query of www.clinicaltrials.gov indicates that 40 clinical trials investigating the use of AM are registered with the site. Of these, only 3 are in the field of orthopaedics. One study investigating the use of AM in reducing scar formation after total knee arthroplasty has completed enrollment, but the data are not yet available. The other study has not yet opened to enrollment and will assess the effects of AM on outcomes of zone II flexor tendon repairs. Finally, a study comparing injection of AM allograft with hyaluronic acid and placebo in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis will soon be open to patient enrollment. A study investigating the use of particulate AM injections for lateral epicondylitis was prematurely terminated by the supplier in March 2014.

REGULATORY AND ETHICAL ISSUES IN THE USE OF HUMAN AMNIOTIC MEMBRANE–DERIVED PRODUCTS

Fresh human AM falls under the supervision of the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Specifically, fresh AM falls under Section 361 as it is an intact human tissue. Similar “361 products” include ligaments, tendons, cartilage, and bone. All other variations of human AM products are categorized as Section 361 only if they satisfy the FDA’s regulatory definitions. This decision is made based on the concept of “minimal manipulation”—meaning that anything more than minimal manipulation of AM categorizes it as a drug or biologic, which is the 351 pathway, requiring a more onerous and costly route to approval. Specifically, a Biologics License Application (BLA) and an Investigational New Drug (IND) application need to be completed.

Since 2000, the FDA has indicated its position on several questions that may help guide researchers and companies intending to use human AM products. The first principle is that AMs seeded with allogeneic cells (including stem cells) are considered a biologic “351 product” and require a BLA and IND. However, dehydrated and decellularized AM is considered a “361 product” only as a wound covering. All other uses are considered nonhomologous. Finally, micrionized cryopreserved AM products are more than minimally manipulated and have to undergo a BLA and IND for any intended clinical use.

On the basis of these guidelines, essentially all of the investigational uses of AM in sports medicine would be considered off-label. Early adopters using AM products therefore have an ethical obligation to disclose to patients when these products are being used off-label. In addition, all patients should be educated about the origin and preparation of AM products, given the potential for personal, religious, or political opposition to their use.

Finally, given the expense associated with the use of AM, the sports medicine community should focus on identifying the correct indications for its use and demonstrating clinical efficacy and cost-effectiveness through rigorous studies before encouraging its widespread use.

CONCLUSION

Human AM-derived products represent a wide variety of tissues and cell populations that show promise in the field of sports medicine. Broadly speaking, human AM can be used as a source of pluripotent cells, but the relative benefits and drawbacks of amniotic epithelial cells and AMSCs have yet to be elucidated. Growth factor–rich medium from pluripotent amniotic cell cultures also shows promise in enhancing tissue healing and regeneration and may find similar applications as platelet-rich plasma. Finally, AM can be used in fresh, cryopreserved, or lyophilized forms as a barrier against adhesion formation, a scaffold for musculoskeletal tissue engineering, or a carrier for biologics and therapeutic cell populations.

Amniotic membrane–derived products have the advantage of minimizing the ethical issues shared by embryonic stem cells while still having the promise of an easily attainable population of pluripotent cells and soluble factors that promote scarless, fetal-type healing. Nonetheless, the regulatory environment around AM products is complex and evolving, and translational applications should be carefully assessed before recommending their clinical application.
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